AgriCos e-Newsletter
ISSN: 2582-7049
AgriCos e-Newsletter
Open Access Multidisciplinary Monthly Online Magazine
Volume: 05 Issue: 10 October 2024 Article No: 15

Sustainable Practices and Environmental Considerations in Food Supply Chain Management

Aniket S. Bhosale, Yogeshwari S. Kanke and Sharwari S. Gajbhiye
M.Sc. Food Technology Scholar, Institute of Biosciences and Technology, MGM University, Chhatrapati Sambhajinagar (M.S.)

SUMMARY

This article explores the environmental challenges within the global food supply chain, including resource depletion, biodiversity loss, greenhouse gas emissions, and food waste. It highlights sustainable practices, such as organic farming, water conservation, integrated pest management, and energy-efficient processing, which can mitigate these impacts. Sustainable packaging, green logistics, and circular economy approaches further enhance the resource efficiency. The article also emphasizes the role of consumer behaviour in promoting sustainability through plant-based diets, ecolabels, and ethical considerations, such as fair trade and animal welfare. Overall, this study advocates a holistic approach to ensure both environmental protection and food security in the future.

INTRODUCTION

The global food system has a significant environmental impact, with 50% habitable land, 70% freshwater withdrawals, and 26% greenhouse gas emissions (Poore & Nemecek, 2018; FAO, 2017; Ritchie & Roser, 2020). To meet the needs of 9.1 billion people by 2050, food production must increase by 70% (FAO, 2009). Adopting sustainable food systems could generate up to $5.7 trillion in annual revenue by 2030 (Food and Land Use Coalition, 2019). The implementation of sustainable practices throughout the food supply chain is essential for mitigating climate change, conserving resources, and preserving biodiversity. A comprehensive approach to sustainability, from production to consumption, is crucial for achieving long-term economic stability and environmental protection.

Environmental Impact of the Food Supply Chain

The global food supply chain has a significant environmental footprint that affects various aspects of our planet's ecosystems.

Resource utilization: The food supply chain significantly affects water, energy, and land resources. Agriculture consumes 70% of the world's freshwater, exacerbating water shortages in vulnerable regions and emphasizing the need for improved water management and irrigation techniques (FAO, 2017). The food industry also uses over 30% of global energy, contributing to resource depletion and greenhouse gas emissions (FAO, 2011). Cattle farming, which accounts for 77% of agricultural land, produces only 18% of the global calories, reflecting inefficient land use that leads to deforestation, habitat destruction, and soil degradation (Poore & Nemecek, 2018). These challenges highlight the need to adopt environmentally sustainable food production practices.

Greenhouse gas emission: The food supply chain significantly contributes to global greenhouse gas emissions, accounting for 21-37% of total emissions (IPCC, 2019). Factors such as enteric fermentation, manure management, and feed production, particularly animal-derived food, contribute to these emissions. Livestock and fisheries account for 31% of food-related emissions (Crippa et al., 2021). Food transportation only contributes 6% of food-related GHG emissions (Poore & Nemecek, 2018). Addressing emissions requires a comprehensive focus on high-impact sectors like animal-based agriculture.

Waste management: Food waste is a significant issue in the global food supply chain, causing resource inefficiency and environmental harm. Approximately one-third of the food produced annually is lost or wasted, leading to the loss of nutrition and wasted resources (FAO, 2011). Food waste contributes to 8% of global greenhouse gas emissions, mainly through methane from landfills and energy used in food production and disposal (FAO, 2015). Supply chain strategies are required to reduce food and packaging waste (PlasticsEurope, 2019).

Biodiversity loss: Agriculture, particularly its practices, is a major contributor to global biodiversity loss, with the overuse of natural resources, pollution from agricultural chemicals, and habitat destruction contributing to 70% of terrestrial biodiversity decline and 50% of freshwater biodiversity loss (Benton et al., 2021). The 2020 Living Planet Report highlighted intensive agriculture as the leading factor in a 68% reduction in vertebrate populations

between 1970 and 2016 (WWF, 2020). Sustainable agricultural practices are required to balance food production and biodiversity conservation.

Sustainable Practices in Food Production

Organic and Regenerative Agriculture: Organic and regenerative agriculture are becoming increasingly popular as sustainable alternatives to conventional farming (Reganold & Wachter, 2016). Organic farming reduces energy use by 30-70% and generates lower greenhouse gas emissions. Regenerative agriculture improves ecosystem function and soil health, sequestering 0.5-1 tonne of carbon per acre annually (Project Drawdown, 2020). Practices such as crop rotation, minimal tillage, cover crops, and livestock integration can enhance soil quality.

Water Conservation Techniques: Sustainable food production relies on efficient water use, particularly in regions with limited resources. Drip irrigation and rainwater collection are promising water-use techniques. Drip irrigation improves water use by up to 90% compared with flood irrigation, reducing evaporation and runoff (Jägermeyr et al., 2015). Rainwater collection can meet 10-50% of crop water needs, enhancing resilience in rain-fed systems during dry seasons (Rockström et al., 2010). Both methods can boost global food productivity while reducing the water demand.

Integrated Pest Management (IPM): Integrated pest management (IPM) is a strategy that reduces pesticide use while ensuring crop protection. It can improve crop yields by 50-90%, lower health risks for farmers, lower input costs, and reduce environmental impacts (Pretty & Bharucha, 2015). A study of Asian cotton production showed that IPM reduced pesticide use by 80% and increased farmers' net profits by 39%, highlighting the environmental and economic benefits of IPM strategies.

Sustainable Crop and Livestock Management: Sustainable agriculture and livestock production are essential to food systems. Crop rotation improves soil health and productivity by 10-25%, reducing pest and disease pressures (Gaudin et al., 2015). Grassland-based livestock management has significant potential for carbon sequestration, improved nutrient cycling, reduced soil disturbance, and increased root biomass (Conant et al., 2017). Rotational grazing and appropriate stocking rates are crucial for maximizing carbon sequestration, particularly under extreme weather conditions.

Sustainable Practices in Food Processing and Distribution

Energy Efficiency in Processing: The food processing industry can significantly reduce energy consumption by up to 30% through insulation, equipment upgrades, and process optimization (Monforti-Ferrario et al., 2015). Combined heat and power (CHP) systems, which generate electricity and thermal energy from a single fuel source, can achieve efficiencies of 80% or more, significantly improving energy utilization compared to traditional systems (EPA, 2018). These advancements underscore the potential for substantial energy savings in the food-processing sector.

Waste Minimization and Circular Economy: The food industry embraces a circular economy to reduce waste and improve resource efficiency. Food waste valorisation can recover up to 20% of lost food value by converting waste into valuable products like bioactive compounds, biofuels, or animal feed (Matharu et al., 2016). Anaerobic digestion is another effective method for producing biogas with a methane content of 50-75%, which can be used to generate electricity, further enhancing resource efficiency and waste reduction (Xu et al., 2018).

Sustainable Packaging Solutions: Biodegradable packaging can reduce plastic waste by up to 80% under optimal conditions; however, its effectiveness depends on proper waste management and consumer practices (Dilkes-Hoffman et al. 2018). Reusable packaging systems offer even greater sustainability benefits, potentially reducing packaging waste by up to 90% and CO2 emissions by up to 60% compared with single-use alternatives (Ellen MacArthur Foundation, 2019). The success of reusable packaging depends on efficient collection and cleaning processes.

Green Logistics: The sustainability of food delivery operations is crucial for reducing the environmental impact. Advanced route planning algorithms can reduce fuel consumption by 10%–15% in food distribution (Soysal et al., 2014). Transitioning to electric vehicles can reduce CO2 emissions by up to 50% compared with diesel vehicles, especially in urban areas where short trips and frequent stops are common (Taefi et al., 2016).

Sustainable Consumption and Consumer Behaviour

Promoting Sustainable Diets: According to Springmann et al. (2018), plant-based diets can significantly reduce food-related emissions by up to 70%. This diet can also reduce land use, improve health outcomes, and reduce emissions. Willett et al. (2019) found that halving meat consumption could decrease agricultural land use by 35%

in high-income countries. They advocated for a "planetary health diet, " rich in plant-based foods and low in animal products, suggesting that it could sustainably feed a global population of 10 billion by 2050, while remaining within planetary boundaries.

Consumer Awareness and Education: Ecolabels can increase consumers' willingness to pay an average of 8.8% more for sustainable products, with organic production labels having a stronger influence. The effectiveness of eco-labels depends on the product type, credibility, product categories, and consumer demographics. Educational campaigns can reduce household food waste by up to 28% by combining behavioural strategies with informational approaches (Reynolds et al., 2019). Interventions should be tailored to specific contexts and target audiences to maximize their impact.

Ethical Considerations: Fair trade certification improves farmers' living conditions in developing countries, increasing their incomes by 5-10%. However, the impact varies depending on the context and commodity, with organized farmers and certain crops benefiting more. Potential drawbacks include disparities between certified and non-certified farmers. Improved animal welfare practices can boost productivity by 7-10%, reduce stress, improve health, and increase feed conversion efficiency at low costs.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this article underscores the importance of adopting sustainable practices across the food supply chain to reduce environmental impacts such as resource depletion, biodiversity loss, and greenhouse gas emissions. The key strategies include organic farming, water conservation, energy-efficient processing, and sustainable packaging. Additionally, promoting plant-based diets, consumer awareness, and ethical considerations, such as fair trade, can drive positive changes. A comprehensive approach is essential to ensure environmental sustainability and food security in the future.

REFERENCES

Benton, T.G., Bieg, C., Harwatt, H., Pudasaini, R., & Wellesley, L. (2021). *Food system impacts on biodiversity loss.* Chatham House, London.

Conant, R. T., Cerri, C. E. P., Osborne, B. B., & Paustian, K. (2017). Grassland management impacts on soil carbon stocks: A new synthesis. *Ecological Applications, 27*(2), 662-668.

Crippa, M., Solazzo, E., Guizzardi, D., Monforti-Ferrario, F., Tubiello, F. N., & Leip, A. (2021). Food systems are responsible for a third of global anthropogenic GHG emissions. *Nature Food, 2*(3), 198-209.

Dilkes-Hoffman, L. S., Lane, J. L., Grant, T., Pratt, S., Lant, P. A., & Laycock, B. (2018). Environmental impact of biodegradable food packaging when considering food waste. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 180,* 325-334.

Ellen MacArthur Foundation. (2019). *Reuse: Rethinking Packaging.* Retrieved from https://www.ellenmacarthurfoundation.org/publications/reuse

Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). (2009). *How to Feed the World in 2050.* Rome: FAO.

Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). (2011). *Energy-smart food for people and climate.* Rome: FAO.

Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). (2015). *Food wastage footprint & climate change.* Rome: FAO.

Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). (2017). *Water for Sustainable Food and Agriculture.* Rome: FAO.

Food and Land Use Coalition. (2019). Growing Better: *Ten Critical Transitions to Transform Food and Land Use.* London: Food and Land Use Coalition.

Gaudin, A. C., Tolhurst, T. N., Ker, A. P., Janovicek, K., Tortora, C., Martin, R. C., & Deen, W. (2015). Increasing crop diversity mitigates weather variations and improves yield stability. *PloS one, 10*(2), e0113261.

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). (2019). *Climate Change and Land: an IPCC special report on climate change, desertification, land degradation, sustainable land management, food security, and greenhouse gas fluxes in terrestrial ecosystems.*

Jägermeyr, J., Gerten, D., Heinke, J., Schaphoff, S., Kummu, M., & Lucht, W. (2015). Water savings potentials of irrigation systems: global simulation of processes and linkages. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 19*(7), 3073-3091.

Matharu, A. S., de Melo, E. M., & Houghton, J. A. (2016). Opportunity for high value-added chemicals from food supply chain wastes. *Bioresource Technology, 215,* 123-130.

Monforti-Ferrario, F., Dallemand, J. F., Pascua, I. P., Motola, V., Banja, M., Scarlat, N., ... & Renzulli, P. (2015). Energy use in the EU food sector: State of play and opportunities for improvement. *Publications Office of*

the European Union, Luxembourg.

PlasticsEurope. (2019). *Plastics – the Facts 2019.* Brussels: PlasticsEurope.

Poore, J., & Nemecek, T. (2018). Reducing food's environmental impacts through producers and consumers. *Science, 360*(6392), 987-992.

Pretty, J., & Bharucha, Z. P. (2015). Integrated pest management for sustainable intensification of agriculture in Asia and Africa. *Insects, 6*(1), 152-182.

Project Drawdown. (2020). Regenerative Annual Cropping. Retrieved from https://drawdown.org/solutions/regenerative-annual-cropping

Reganold, J. P., & Wachter, J. M. (2016). Organic agriculture in the twenty-first century. *Nature Plants, 2*(2), 15221.

Reynolds, C., Goucher, L., Quested, T., Bromley, S., Gillick, S., Wells, V. K., ... & Jackson, P. (2019). Review: Consumption-stage food waste reduction interventions – What works and how to design better interventions. *Food Policy, 83*, 7-27.

Ritchie, H., & Roser, M. (2020). Environmental Impacts of Food Production. *Our World in Data.* https://ourworldindata.org/environmental-impacts-of-food

Rockström, J., Karlberg, L., Wani, S. P., Barron, J., Hatibu, N., Oweis, T., ... & Qiang, Z. (2010). Managing water in rainfed agriculture—The need for a paradigm shift. *Agricultural Water Management, 97*(4), 543-550.

Soysal, M., Bloemhof-Ruwaard, J. M., & van der Vorst, J. G. A. J. (2014). Modelling food logistics networks with emission considerations: The case of an international beef supply chain. *International Journal of Production Economics, 152*, 57-70.

Springmann, M., Clark, M., Mason-D'Croz, D., Wiebe, K., Bodirsky, B. L., Lassaletta, L., & Willett, W. (2018). Options for keeping the food system within environmental limits. *Nature, 562*(7728), 519-525.

Taefi, T. T., Kreutzfeldt, J., Held, T., & Fink, A. (2016). Supporting the adoption of electric vehicles in urban road freight transport – A multi-criteria analysis of policy measures in Germany. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice, 91*, 61-79.

U.S. EPA. (2018). Combined Heat and Power Partnership: Food Processing. Retrieved from https://www.epa.gov/chp/food-processing-sector-chp-market-potential

Willett, W., Rockström, J., Loken, B., Springmann, M., Lang, T., Vermeulen, S., ... & Murray, C. J. (2019). Food in the Anthropocene: the EAT–Lancet Commission on healthy diets from sustainable food systems. *The Lancet, 393*(10170), 447-492.

Xu, F., Li, Y., Ge, X., Yang, L., & Li, Y. (2018). Anaerobic digestion of food waste – Challenges and opportunities. *Bioresource Technology, 247*, 1047-1058.